

INDEX TO POINTS DISCUSSED AND AUTHORITIES CITED.

I. Introduction	PAGE 1
II. Jurisdiction	1- 2
III. The Facts	2
IV. Argument	3- 4
(a) Smith v. North Jellico Coal Company, 131 Ky. 196, 114 S. W. 785, 28 L. R. A. (N. S.) 1266	3
(b) Ballard & Ballard Company v. Lee, 131 Ky. 412, 115 S. W. 732.....	3
(c) Boyd v. Crescent Coal Company, 141 Ky. 789, 133 S. W. 777.....	3
(d) Proctor Coal Co. v. Beaver, 151 Ky. 839, 152 S. W. 965	3
(e) Wallsend Coal & Coke Company v. Shields, 159 Ky. 644, 167 S. W. 918.....	3
(f) North East Coal Company v. Setser, 169 Ky. 245, 183 S. W. 553.....	3
(g) Hazard Coal Company v. Wallace, 181 Ky. 636, 205 S. W. 692	3
(h) Atlas Stone Company v. Ingram, 193 Ky. 272, 235 S. W. 721.....	3
(i) Dunn Coal Company v. Fike, 238 Ky. 376, 38 S. W. (2d) 201.....	3
(j) High Splint Coal Company v. Baker, 247 Ky. 436, 57 S. W. (2d) 60.....	3
V. Conclusion	4

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF AUTHORITIES CITED.

	PAGE
Atlas Stone Company v. Ingram, 193 Ky. 272, 235 S. W. 721	3
Ballard & Ballard Company v. Lee, 131 Ky. 412, 115 S. W. 732	3
Boyd v. Crescent Coal Company, 141 Ky. 789, 133 S. W. 777	3
Dunn Coal Company v. Fike, 238 Ky. 376, 38 S. W. (2d) 201	3
Hazard Coal Company v. Wallace, 181 Ky. 636, 205 S. W. 692	3
High Splint Coal Company v. Baker, 247 Ky. 436, 57 S. W. (2d) 60	3
North East Coal Company v. Setser, 169 Ky. 245, 183 S. W. 553	3
Proctor Coal Co. v. Beaver, 151 Ky. 839, 152 S. W. 965	3
Smith v. North Jellico Coal Company, 131 Ky. 196, 114 S. W. 785, 28 L. R. A. (N. S.) 1266	3
Wallsend Coal & Coke Company v. Shields, 159 Ky. 644, 167 S. W. 918	3

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1939.

No. _____

SPLINT COAL CORPORATION, - - - - *Petitioner,*

v.

MRS. WALTER ANDERSON, ADMINISTRATRIX
OF THE ESTATE OF WALTER ANDERSON,
DECEASED, - - - - - *Respondent.*

BRIEF FOR PETITIONER.

If this Honorable Court please:

On February 14, 1940, the *United States Circuit Court of Appeals* for the *Sixth Circuit* affirmed a judgment of the *District Court* for the *Eastern District of Kentucky* in this case (80).¹ On March 13, 1940, that *Court* overruled petitioner's petition for rehearing (87). This writ is sought to review that decision.

JURISDICTION.

Jurisdiction is invoked under *Sec. 240 (a)* of the *Judicial Code (Act Feb. 13, 1925, 43 Stat. 936, 28 U. S. C. A., Sec. 240 (a))*:

¹Figures in parenthesis refer to pages of transcript.

(a) Because by the decision the *United States Circuit Court of Appeals* for the *Sixth Circuit* has entirely disregarded and refused to give effect to the laws of Kentucky, and

(b) To the established rule in the *Federal Courts*.

THE FACTS.

On August 17, 1936, Walter Anderson was a coal loader employed by petitioner in its mines.

On that day while working in a place from which coal was being presently recovered, Anderson received an injury by the falling of a "Kettle-back" from the roof of the working place. Anderson thus describes the place in which he was working at the time the injury occurred (28):

"As to the prop which was shaken there and as to how close the prop was to this piece of slate, and how near from the roof it fell from the prop; this piece of slate fell between the prop and the face of the coal. I could not state the distance it was out from the prop; it was pretty close to the face."

The timber Anderson is talking about is what is known in all mines as the "safety timber." That is the timber that always sets nearest the face of the coal. The space between this timber and the coal face is the point of present operations, and he who is working there is engaged in presently removing support from the roof.

ARGUMENT.

It is the law of Kentucky, settled by many cases, that where a servant is presently engaged in labor that tends to create the condition that causes an injury the "safe place" rule has no application.

- (a) *Smith v. North Jellico Coal Company*, 131 Ky. 196, 114 S. W. 785, 28 L. R. A. (N. S.) 1266.
- (b) *Ballard & Ballard Company v. Lee*, 131 Ky. 412, 115 S. W. 732.
- (c) *Boyd v. Crescent Coal Company*, 141 Ky. 789, 133 S. W. 777.
- (d) *Proctor Coal Co. v. Beaver*, 151 Ky. 839, 152 S. W. 965.
- (e) *Wallsend Coal & Coke Company v. Shields*, 159 Ky. 644, 167 S. W. 918.
- (f) *North East Coal Company v. Setser*, 169 Ky. 245, 183 S. W. 553.
- (g) *Hazard Coal Company v. Wallace*, 181 Ky. 636, 205 S. W. 692.
- (h) *Atlas Stone Company v. Ingram*, 193 Ky. 272, 235 S. W. 721.
- (i) *Dunn Coal Company v. Fike*, 238 Ky. 376, 38 S. W. (2d) 201.
- (j) *High Splint Coal Company v. Baker*, 247 Ky. 436, 57 S. W. (2d) 60.²

It is settled in Kentucky that the master does not owe his servant any duty to furnish a safe place to

²And see also *Gregg v. Stonega Coal & Coke Company*, 177 Ky. 718, 198 S. W. 6; *Lytle v. Rex Coal Company*, 177 Ky. 660, 197 S. W. 1070; *Elkhorn Mining Corporation v. Vanhoon*, 179 Ky. 529, 200 S. W. 921, 15 A. L. R. 1378; *Charles v. Elkhorn Mining Corporation*, 179 Ky. 288, 200 S. W. 461; *New Hughes Jellico Coal Company v. Gray*, 173 Ky. 337, 191 S. W. 78; *Stonega Coal & Coke Company v. Clark*, 205 Ky. 745, 269 S. W. 1021; *Perkins-Harlan Coal Company v. Mercer*, 235 Ky. 618, 32 S. W. (2d) 14.

perform his labors, where the character of the work being done creates the condition of danger. In proof of this we offer all the cases cited *supra* and those cited in footnote 2. The same rule has been laid down in *Federal* cases³ and in other jurisdictions.⁴ But whatever the rule may be otherwheres, this rule is well settled in Kentucky.

The learned *Circuit Court of Appeals* failed to give expression to this rule. In that we think there is error.

CONCLUSION.

We respectfully ask a certiorari and a reversal.

CLEON K. CALVERT,
Attorney for Petitioner.

RAY O. SHEEHAN,
Of Counsel.

Pineville, Ky.,
May 30, 1940.

³See *C. C. C. & St. L. Railroad Company v. Brown*, 73 Fed. 970, 20 C. C. A. 147; *Baird v. Reilly*, 92 Fed. 884, 35 C. C. A. 78.

⁴*Sloss-Sheffield Steel, etc., Company v. White*, 187 Ala. 605, 65 So. 999; *Central Coal, etc., Company v. Graham*, 129 Ark. 550, 196 S. W. 940; *Calumet Fuel Company v. Rossie*, 60 Colo. 87, 151 Pac. 935; *Schultz v. Burnwell Coal Company*, 180 Ill. Aff. 693; *Carson v. Miami Coal Company*, 141 N. E. 810; *Mitchell v. Phillips Mining Company*, 181 Ia. 640, 165 N. W. 108; *Brooks v. Central Coal, etc., Company*, 96 Kas. 530, 152 Pac. 616.

